

**UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Berkeley Transportation Sustainability** RESEARCH CENTER

### BIKESHARING AND BUSINESS MODELS FROM ACROSS THE GLOBE

Susan A. Shaheen, Ph.D. Co-authors: Stacey Guzman and Angela Zhang Rail-Volution 2010 October 19, 2010

### **Overview**

- Definition
- History
- Current bikesharing activities
- Business models & vendors
- Fourth generation bikesharing
- Summary



# **Bikesharing Definition**

- Flexible short-term usage scheme that targets daily mobility;
- Allows users to access public bicycles at unattended bike stations;
- Bicycle reservations, pick-up, and drop-off selfservice;
- Multiple bike station locations enable users to pick up and return bicycles to different stations; and
- Typically covers bicycle purchase and maintenance costs, as well as storage and parking responsibilities



# **Bikesharing History: Europe**

- White Bikes (or Free Bike Systems): First Bikesharing Generation
  - Main component: Bicycle
  - Bicycles usually painted one bright color, unlocked, and placed haphazardly throughout an area for free use
    - 1965: Amsterdam (White Bike Plan)
    - 1974: La Rochelle, France ("Vélos Jaunes" or Yellow Bikes), which continues to evolve
    - 1993: Cambridge, UK (Green Bike Schemes)





# **Bikesharing History: Europe**

### Coin-Deposit Systems: Second Bikesharing Generation

- Main components: Bicycle and docking stations
- Coin-deposit locks created much more reliable bikesharing system; dependable and more theft resistant
  - 1995: Copenhagen, Denmark ("Bycyken" or City Bike)
  - 1996: Sandnes, Norway (Bycykler)
  - 2000: Helsinki, Finland (City Bikes)
  - 2005: Arhus, Denmark (Bycykel)





## **Bikesharing History: North America**

### First Bikesharing Generation

- Relative to the European experience, North American bikesharing history is limited
  - 1994: Portland, Oregon (Yellow Bikes)
  - 1995: Boulder, Colorado (Green Bike Program)

### Second Bikesharing Generation

- Launch of multiple North American bikesharing systems employing "Coin Deposit" model
  - 1996: Twin Cities-Minneapolis and St. Paul (Yellow Bike Project)
  - 1996-2002: Madison, Wisconsin; Olympia, Washington; Austin, Texas; Princeton, New Jersey; Durham, New Hampshire; Decatur, Georgia



## **Bikesharing: The Present**

- Expanded to include four continents: Europe, Asia, North America, and South America
- Approximately 100 bikesharing programs operating in estimated 125 cities around the world, with over 140,000 shared bicycles
- Another 45 planned in 22 nations in 2010



### Worldwide Bikesharing Programs





Transportation Sustainability RESEAR

Country	Programs	Bicycles	Stations
Austria	3	1,500	82
Belgium	1	1,000	100
Brazil	2	232	26
Canada	1	5,000	400
Chile	1	50	10
China	3	65,000	2,522
Czech Republic	3	51	16
Denmark	3	2,513	277
Finland	1	300	26
France	21	34,898	2,797
Germany	3	6,069	128
Italy	16	3,392	361
Ireland	1	450	40
Luxembourg	2	370	40
Mexico	1	—	12
Monaco	1	10	2
Netherlands	1	—	200
Norway	1	1,660	154
New Zealand	1	175	11
Poland	1	100	13
Romania	1	100	10
Spain	21	11,080	842
South Korea	1	430	20
Sweden	3	2,125	171
Switzerland	1	120	11
Taiwan	2	2,000	31
United States	1	120	10
United Kingdom	2	1,410	809
Total	99	140.155	9.121

# **Third Bikesharing Generation**

- Gained worldwide popularity by incorporating advanced technologies for bicycle reservations, pick-up, drop-off, and information tracking
- Four main components:
  - Distinguishable bicycles (either by color, special design, or advertisement);
  - Docking stations;
  - Kiosk or user interface technology for check-in and checkout; and
  - Advanced technology (e.g., mobile phone, magnetic strip card, smartcards)





### Bikesharing Generations

### First Generation: White Bikes (or Free Bikes) Systems

- Components
- Bicycles
- Characteristics
- · Distinct bicycles (usually by color)
- · Located haphazardly throughout an area
- · Bicycles unlocked
- · Free of charge

### Second Generation: Coin-Deposit Systems

- Components
- · Bicycles
- Docking Stations
- Characteristics
- · Distinct bicycles (color or special design)
- Located at specific docking stations
- Bicycles have locks

### Third Generation: IT-Based Systems

### Components

- Bicycles
- Docking Stations
- Kiosks or User Interface Technology
- Characteristics
- Distinct bicycles (color, special design, or advertisements)
- Located at specific docking stations
- Smart technology is used for bicycle check-in/checkout (mobile phones, mag-stripe card smartcards)
- · Theft deterrents (program specific; members are required to provide ID, bankcard, or mobile phone number to identify users). Failure to return bicycle incurs charges to recov bicycle cost and may also include high punitive costs. Non-members are generally require pay a large deposit to ensure bike return, under risk of losing their deposit.
- Programs paid for as a membership service, typically free for the first specified time interimeters with gradually increasing costs enforced.

### Fourth Generation: Demand Responsive, Multi-Modal Systems

- Components
- Bicycles
- Docking Stations
- Kiosks/User Interface
- Bicycle Distribution System
- Characteristics
- Distinct bicycles
- · Programs may include electric bicycles
- · Specific docking stations that are more efficient (mobile, solar powered, etc.)
- · Improved locking mechanism to deter bicycle theft
- Touch screen kiosks/user interface
- Bicycle redistribution system
- · Linked to public transit smartcard



- Bicycles have locks

# **Bikesharing Present: Europe**

- Relative to other countries, bikesharing programs in Europe large scale, operate through public-private partnerships, and feature advanced technologies
- As of Fall 2009, there were approximately 19 European nations operating bikesharing programs
  - 1998: Rennes, France (SmartBike, Clear Channel)
  - 2005: Lyon, France (Velo'v, JCDecaux)
  - 2007: Paris, France (Vélib', JCDecaux)





# **Bikesharing Present: Americas**

- Bikesharing in North American:
  - SmartBike in Washington, DC proved 3<sup>rd</sup> gen. bikesharing feasible
  - BIXI in Montreal, Canada now largest IT-based system in North America; 5,000 bikes, 400 stns, 11,000 members
- Bikesharing in South America:
  - Bikesharing activity began in 2008 with "UseBike" in São
    Paulo and "Samba" in Rio de Janiero, Brazil
  - Chile also has an operating program, while Argentina and Colombia are in the process of planning bikesharing systems





# **Bikesharing Present: Asia**

- Asia's bikesharing history limited to third generation
- Asia fastest growing market for bikesharing activity today
- Bikesharing programs operating in South Korea, Taiwan, and Mainland China
  - Largest and most famous program in Asia: Hangzhou "Public Bicycle" system
  - Surpassed Vélib as the largest bikesharing program in the world with 50,000 bicycles and 2,000 stations (Vélib: 20,000 and 1,650)





### **Business Models and Vendors**

Third-generation successes led to a growing number of bikesharing vendors, providers, service models, and technologies

- Providers range from local governments (27%) to transport agencies, universities, advertising companies, for-profit, and nonprofit groups
- Funded through municipalities, advertising, self funding, user fees, and public-private partnerships
- Major vendors: Clear Channel Adshel (16%), BIXI, Veoila Transportation, Cemusa, JCDecaux (23%), and B-Cycle
- Major systems: SmartBike by Clear Channel Outdoor in U.S.,
  Bicincittà by Comunicare in Italy, Cyclocity by JCDecaux in France



### **Lessons Learned**

- Bicycle theft and vandalism
- Bicycle redistribution (trucks, mobile stations)
- Information systems (real-time information)
- Insurance and liability considerations (helmets)
- *Pre-Launch considerations* (marketing, incremental pricing, mobile bike stations)



### Fourth Generation Bikesharing: Demand-Responsive, Multi-Modal Systems

- Build upon third generation systems and emphasize:
  - Flexible, clean docking stations
  - Bicycle redistribution innovations
  - Smartcard integration with other transportation modes (i.e., public transit and carsharing)
  - Technological advances including GPS tracking, touchscreen kiosks, and electric bikes



# Summary

- Bikesharing potential to reduce GHGs and fuel consumption by discouraging personal vehicle use
- Current worldwide developments suggest ongoing growth
- Could alter travel patterns across globe
- More in-depth research on bikesharing's social/environmental benefits, business models, operational understanding, advanced technology applications, and public policy role needed



## Acknowledgments

- California Department of Transportation
- Honda Motor Company, Endowment for New Mobility Studies at UC Davis
- Melissa Chung, TSRC
- Gian-Carlo Crivello (BIXI), Xuejun Tao (Hangzhou Public Bike Share), Mareike Rauchhaus (Nextbike), and Tim Caswell (Hourbike)





www.its.berkeley.edu/sustainabilitycenter